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ABSTRACT
Climate change impacts are perceived today as being very noticeable; determination of precipitation, wind, flow, evaporation and temperature trends has become essential for water resources and engineering projects in management and planning. Nowadays there is a lot of studies have been made in progress on global and regional climate changes in literature. In this study, monthly, annual and seasonal trends in average temperature, total precipitation, and average wind speed data calculated by Mann-Kendall, linear trend and Sen's trend tests. The gauge station is located in Samsun, which is the largest city of the Turkey's Black Sea region. The data sets are obtained for the period 1980 to 2015. According to the results, at the levels of significance of $5 \%$ and $10 \%$, Mann-Kendall's statistical results were found to be generally similar to those of trend analysis methods.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Climate change will cause immediate consequences to mitigate the adverse effects we will encounter, other than the natural effects expected of the planet's transformation process. Climate change is a global problem beyond an environmental problem and the world be going to impressed from this issue in a long-term. Nowadays, a scientifically authenticated fact is that the planet will face a temperature increase and changes in precipitation patterns over the next decades [1]. Hydrometeorological processes constantly affect climate and human activities, and effects appear as trends or sudden jumps. Extreme meteorological events and major projects to improve water resources can affect hydrological processes and cause sudden changes on the climatological time series [2]. Trend analysis is an active area of interest in producing climate change scenarios and improving climate impact studies. If the evolution of the climate system and the human impacts are into account, the presupposition of fixed, unifying time-invariant properties of times series examined seems to be invalid [3]. With the analysis, deterministic trends in time series, progression or at least operational changes can be estimated. In practice, the trend information of a particular variable is used in designing future scenarios and future realizations. Climate change prediction is becoming increasingly important, thus trend designation and evaluation, hydrological modeling,
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and simulation studies have been conducted by different researchers on a global scale by many methodologies [4, 11, 17, 18 and 20]. The realization of the expected influence of climate change and the decreasing of trends of hydrological variables to the ecosystem, public life and infrastructure have been obligatory. Since it has been necessary to make a provision by decision-makers, discovering and identifying of these trends is the most important step in such an analysis. In the present study climate parameters; average temperature, total precipitation and, average wind data series have been subjected to various trends methods in monthly, annual and seasonal basis for Samsun province. Climate data for Samsun station is obtained from the Turkish General Directorate of Meteorology. However, this study will provide the necessary services for the decision makers in terms of forecasting, projecting and execution processes.

## 2. RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

Climate change has a great impact on climatic variables all around the world. The importance of water resources has increased because of growing population, unplanned urbanization, industrial development and rapid changes in global climate events throughout the world. Studies of climate change are not being considered separately of trend analysis thus provides a broad overview of the past and future in climatic variables. It is very important to survey that change for future water demand. In this study, monthly, annual and seasonal trends in average temperature, total precipitation, and average wind speed data calculated by MannKendall, linear trend and Sen's Trend tests. Data obtained for the period from 1980 to 2015 for Samsun station which located north of Turkey.

## 3. SUBJECT

### 3.1. Study Area and Data

In the middle part of the Black Sea Costal line, Samsun province, which is located between Kizilirmak and Yesilirmak rivers pouring into the Black Sea, has a surface area of $9083 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Figure 1). Samsun province has three distinctive features in terms of the forms of the earth. The first is mountainous in the South, the second are plateaus between mountainous coastline and coastal strip and the third is the coastal plain between the springs and the Black Sea. The rivers in the region have occurred as a result of disintegrated the land between plateau to a large extent and spread it in places. The geographic location of the study area is between latitude $41^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ and longitude $36^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 98^{\prime \prime}$. Samsun's area is $9.352 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and elevation is about 4.00 m . Samsun generally has a temperate weather. Climate has two distinct characteristics in the coastal strip and interior areas. The effects of the Black Sea climate are seen on the coastline. Summer is hot on the coast and the winter is warm and rainy, while inner areas are under the influence of Akdag Mountain, which is 2000 meters high and Canik Mountains, which is 1500 meters high. As a result of this influence of the mountains, the winter season is cold, rainy and snowy and summer season is cool. The mean annual precipitation totals are above the country average (707.21mm). On the other hand, the rate of precipitation at the end is different from that of the Western Black Sea region. The greatest rivers of the province are Kizilirmak and Yesilirmak. These two rivers reach the Black Sea after passing the provincial lands. There are a number of small streams on the eastern side as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Location of station 17030
However, these streams are irregular. The location information of the Samsun station is in Table 1. Basic statistical information on monthly total precipitation data is provided in Table 2.

Table 1. Location information of Samsun station

| Station No | Station | Latitude ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Longitude ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ | Altitude (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17030 | Samsun | 41.35 | 36.24 | 4 |

Monthly, seasonal and annual average temperature, total rainfall and average wind series were obtained from General Directorate of Meteorology. The 35-year period between 1980 and 2015 was chosen as the study period.
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| Months | Data range | Min.value (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max.value } \\ \text { (mm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\mathrm{Mean},} \mu$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standard } \\ \text { deviation, } \\ \sigma(\mathrm{mm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cv* | Cs* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 1980-2015 | 5.10 | 133.2 | 62.58 | 29.973 | 0.479 | 0.750 |
| Feb | 1980-2015 | 14.30 | 100.4 | 52.06 | 21.422 | 0.411 | 0.604 |
| Mar | 1980-2015 | 4.80 | 141.6 | 61.46 | 27.755 | 0.452 | 0.522 |
| Apr | 1980-2015 | 19.60 | 146.2 | 55.29 | 30.972 | 0.560 | 1.054 |
| May | 1980-2015 | 19.60 | 132.9 | 50.20 | 30.575 | 0.609 | 1.068 |
| Jun | 1980-2015 | 3.30 | 150.5 | 50.14 | 30.938 | 0.617 | 1.269 |
| Jul | 1980-2015 | 0.00 | 167.3 | 35.30 | 34.206 | 0.969 | 2.069 |
| Aug | 1980-2015 | 0.00 | 269.8 | 45.71 | 55.833 | 1.222 | 2.287 |
| Sep | 1980-2015 | 3.90 | 133.1 | 47.07 | 31.082 | 0.660 | 0.764 |
| Oct | 1980-2015 | 14.10 | 257.0 | 86.00 | 56.015 | 0.651 | 1.509 |
| Nov | 1980-2015 | 10.60 | 177.1 | 86.93 | 47.501 | 0.546 | 0.202 |
| Dec | 1980-2015 | 36.90 | 142.2 | 74.46 | 29.570 | 0.397 | 0.709 |
| Annual | 1980-2015 | 496.70 | 999.1 | 707.21 | 94.539 | 0.134 | 0.598 |
| Winter | 1980-2015 | 111.30 | 313.8 | 189.11 | 53.614 | 0.284 | 0.459 |
| Spring | 1980-2015 | 87.0 | 269.6 | 166.95 | 47.727 | 0.286 | 0.422 |
| Summer | 1980-2015 | 27.50 | 386.3 | 131.15 | 72.145 | 0.550 | 1.641 |
| Autumn | 1980-2015 | 96.10 | 400.0 | 220.01 | 74.133 | 0.337 | 0.533 |

*Cv'; Coefficient of variation, Cs'; coefficient of skewness
Table 2 shows that the total annual rainfall is 707.21 mm . The Black Sea climate which dominates the region is a mild climate. The season with the highest total precipitation is autumn with 220.01 mm and the lowest total precipitation is summer with 131.15 mm . Basic statistical information on average monthly temperatures and average monthly winds are given in Table 3 and 4 respectively. According to Table 3, the highest temperatures are seen in summer with an average of $22.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the lowest temperatures are seen in winter with an average of $8.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Also, the annual average temperature of Samsun station is $15.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 3. Basic statistical information on average monthly temperatures

| Months | Data <br> Range | Min.value <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Max.val <br> ue $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Mean, $\mu$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Standard <br> deviation, $\sigma$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Cv}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | $1980-2015$ | 4.6 | 27.7 | 8.3 | 4.361 | 0.527 | 3.898 |
| Feb | $1980-2015$ | 3.0 | 27.7 | 7.8 | 4.548 | 0.586 | 3.709 |
| Mar | $1980-2015$ | 4.7 | 28.1 | 8.6 | 4.305 | 0.499 | 4.104 |
| Apr | $1980-2015$ | 9.0 | 28.4 | 11.9 | 3.739 | 0.313 | 3.788 |
| May | $1980-2015$ | 9.0 | 28.6 | 16.0 | 2.962 | 0.185 | 3.385 |
| Jun | $1980-2015$ | 18.8 | 29.3 | 20.6 | 2.220 | 0.108 | 2.802 |
| Jul | $1980-2015$ | 20.4 | 29.5 | 23.5 | 1.793 | 0.076 | 1.622 |
| Aug | $1980-2015$ | 20.8 | 29.7 | 23.8 | 1.919 | 0.081 | 1.523 |
| Sep | $1980-2015$ | 17.6 | 29.2 | 20.6 | 2.308 | 0.112 | 2.272 |
| Oct | $1980-2015$ | 14.2 | 29.1 | 16.8 | 3.028 | 0.180 | 3.037 |
| Nov | $1980-2015$ | 8.0 | 28.5 | 13.0 | 3.855 | 0.296 | 2.788 |
| Dec | $1980-2015$ | 6.4 | 27.9 | 10.2 | 4.200 | 0.413 | 3.338 |
| Annual | $1980-2015$ | 13.3 | 28.6 | 15.1 | 3.000 | 0.199 | 4.148 |
| Winter | $1980-2015$ | 6.1 | 27.8 | 8.7 | 4.167 | 0.477 | 4.288 |
| Spring | $1980-2015$ | 9.2 | 28.4 | 12.2 | 3.539 | 0.290 | 4.256 |
| Summer | $1980-2015$ | 20.7 | 29.5 | 22.6 | 1.882 | 0.083 | 2.339 |
| Autumn | $1980-2015$ | 14.1 | 28.9 | 16.8 | 2.871 | 0.171 | 3.363 |
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| Months | Data <br> Range | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Min. } \\ \text { Value } \\ (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Max. Value (m/sec)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean, } \mu \\ (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | Standard Deviation $\sigma$ (m/sec) | Cv* | Cs* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 1980-2015 | 1.00 | 2.70 | 1.59 | 0.404 | 0.254 | 0.578 |
| Feb | 1980-2015 | 0.80 | 2.40 | 1.42 | 0.364 | 0.256 | 0.530 |
| Mar | 1980-2015 | 0.70 | 1.80 | 1.22 | 0.277 | 0.227 | -0.130 |
| Apr | 1980-2015 | 0.60 | 1.30 | 1.04 | 0.191 | 0.184 | -0.743 |
| May | 1980-2015 | 0.60 | 1.30 | 0.92 | 0.201 | 0.217 | 0.046 |
| Jun | 1980-2015 | 0.60 | 1.40 | 1.06 | 0.206 | 0.194 | -0.249 |
| Jul | 1980-2015 | 0.80 | 1.60 | 1.26 | 0.229 | 0.182 | -0.434 |
| Aug | 1980-2015 | 0.80 | 1.60 | 1.22 | 0.206 | 0.169 | -0.202 |
| Sep | 1980-2015 | 0.60 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 0.207 | 0.188 | -0.608 |
| Oct | 1980-2015 | 0.50 | 1.40 | 1.03 | 0.223 | 0.216 | -1.050 |
| Nov | 1980-2015 | 0.60 | 1.90 | 1.22 | 0.372 | 0.305 | 0.008 |
| Dec | 1980-2015 | 0.80 | 2.30 | 1.54 | 0.380 | 0.247 | 0.011 |
| Annual | 1980-2015 | 0.72 | 1.47 | 1.22 | 0.219 | 0.180 | -1.049 |
| Winter | 1980-2015 | 0.93 | 2.20 | 1.52 | 0.331 | 0.218 | -0.152 |
| Spring | 1980-2015 | 0.63 | 1.37 | 1.06 | 0.194 | 0.183 | -0.858 |
| Summer | 1980-2015 | 0.73 | 1.53 | 1.18 | 0.199 | 0.169 | -0.439 |
| Autumn | 1980-2015 | 0.57 | 1.43 | 1.12 | 0.241 | 0.216 | -0.865 |

Table 4 shows that, the highest wind velocity is seen in winter with an average of $1.52 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and the lowest wind velocity is seen in spring with an average of $1.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. Annual average of wind velocity is $1.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ in Samsun station.

## 4. METHODS

In this study, three trend methods that well known in the literature were used for estimations. Mann-Kendall trend test is used as a non-parametric method, the linear trend is used as a parametric method and Sen Trend test as an innovative trend test was used in analyses that successfully applicated in many recent studies [9, 10 and 11]. In consequence of anthropogenic and instrumental effects, a generally homogeneous data set could not be used in climatological studies. Analysis of the statistical homogeneity of the data was adopted at the 1\% significance level for the Standard Normal test, Pettitt test, Von Neumann rate and Buishand range test [12, 13, 14, 15 and 16]. Consequently, the data Samsun Station found homogeneous, as reviewed by Dogan et al. [8]. As a result, after the homogeneity analysis, homogeneous data series of Samsun station were subjected to trend analysis.

### 4.1. Mann-Kendall Trend Test

The Mann-Kendall method is based on the investigation of trends of time series. Mann-Kendall is a non-parametric rank-based method that is resistant against the effects of extremes and suitable for application with distorted variables [17]. Test statistics are;

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n$; is the number of data points, $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are the data values for time series $i$ and $j$, in Equation 1 and $\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)$ is the sign function in Equation 2 below;

$$
\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{k}-x_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 ; & \text { If } x_{j}>x_{k}  \tag{2}\\
0 ; & \text { If } x_{j}=x_{k} \\
-1 & \text { If } x_{j}<x_{k}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## The variance computed below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(S)=\frac{n(n-1)(2 n+5)-\sum_{k=1}^{P} t_{k}\left(t_{k}-1\right)\left(2 t_{k}+5\right)}{18} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation 3, p indicates the number of tied groups, $n$ means a set of data, and $t_{i}$ is the total number of ties extent $k$. With the same value a tied group is a set of sample data. If the sample size is $n>10, Z$ the standard normal test is calculated by Equation 4. In Equation 3, $P$ indicates the number of tied groups, and sum sign ( $\Sigma$ ) shows the total amount of all tied groups. $t_{k}$ is the number of data values in $p^{t h}$ group. If there are no tied groups, the summary process is neglected. Computing variance of time series with Equation 3, then standard $Z$ value is computed using the following equation.

$$
Z=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{S-1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(S)}} ; & \text { If } S>0  \tag{4}\\
0 ; & \text { If } S=0 \\
\frac{S+1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(S)}} & \text { If } S<0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The computed standard $Z$ value is checked with standard normal distribution according to the two-tailed confidence levels ( $\alpha=10$ \% , $\alpha=5 \%)$. If $|Z|>\left|Z_{1}-\alpha / 2\right|$ value is less than, calculated $Z$, the null hypothesis ( $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ ) is rejected and the $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ hypothesis is accepted. On the contrary the $H_{0}$ hypothesis is accepted and that means the trend is not significant statistically. $\alpha=10 \%$ and $\alpha=5 \%$ (two-tailed confidence levels) are into account in this study [18].

### 4.1.1. Linear Trend Test

Regression analysis is based on the solution of the graph obtained by writing two different variables on separate axes. It is necessary to select a line that best expresses the obtained graph and determine the curve of this line.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \cdot X \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation 5, $\beta_{0}$ is a constant value and $\beta_{1}$ is the slope. If this equation used in the determination of trend analysis, $\beta_{1}$ is expressed the amount of decrease or increase on trend [19].

### 4.1.2. Sen's Trend Test

The Sen [11] method is independent of assumptions such as sample size and serial correlation, within the data can be distributed as normally and non-normally also. The time series are divided into two equal parts from the beginning to the end date and then sorted in ascending order. At this time in the Cartesian coordinate system, there is an $X_{i}$ sub-series on the $X$ axis and $a$ sub-series $X_{j}$ on the $Y$ axis (Figure 2).
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Figure 2. Increasing and decreasing trends versus trendless time series
There is a decreasing trend if the data corresponds to the triangular area below the 1: 1 line, if the data corresponding to the triangular area above the 1: 1 line, there is an increasing trend against [7, 11 and 20]. Sen [20] suggested a new statistical process. Steps of this method are given in Equation 6-11.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(s)=\frac{2}{n}\left[E\left(\overline{y_{2}}\right)-E\left(\overline{y_{1}}\right)\right]  \tag{6}\\
& \sigma_{s}^{2}=\frac{4}{n^{2}}\left[E\left({\overline{y_{2}}}^{2}\right)-2 E\left(\overline{y_{2} y_{1}}\right)-E\left({\overline{y_{1}}}^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{7}\\
& \rho_{\overline{y_{2} y_{1}}}=\frac{E\left(\overline{y_{2}} \overline{y_{1}}\right)-E\left(\overline{y_{2}}\right)-E\left(\overline{y_{1}}\right)}{\sigma_{\overline{y_{2}}} \sigma_{\overline{y_{1}}}}  \tag{8}\\
& \sigma_{s}^{2}=\frac{8}{n^{2}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\left(1-\rho_{\overline{y_{2} y_{1}}}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \left.\sigma_{s}=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{n \sqrt{n}} \sigma \sqrt{\left(1-\rho_{\overline{y_{2} y_{1}}}\right.}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& C L_{(1-\alpha)}=0 \mp s_{\text {critical }} \sigma_{s} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\bar{y}_{1}$, mean of the first data; $\bar{y}_{2}$, mean of the second data; $\rho$, correlation between first and second data; $s$, slope value; $n$, number of data; $\sigma$, standard deviation of all data; $\sigma_{s}, ~ s l o p e ~ s t a n d a r d ~ d e v i a t i o n ; ~ Z ~$ critical values in one-way hypothesis at 95\% (for example) confidence level. Critical upper and lower values established for hypothesis test limits (Equation 11). It can be said that, if each station's slope value, $s, i s$ outside the lower and upper confidence limits, and also alternative hypotheses, $H_{1}$, are verified, there is a trend (Yes) in time series. The type of trend is stated depending on the slope value (s) sign. Slope (s) can be positive or negative. This means that there is an increasing (+) or a decreasing (-) trend in time series [20].

## 5. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The aim of this study is to calculate the behavior of monthly, annual and seasonal trends with average temperature total precipitations and average wind data for Samsun gauge station. Mann-Kendall trend, linear trend and Sen's trend methods were used in the trend analysis.

### 5.1. Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis Results

The Mann-Kendall (MK) test is one of the non-parametric tests to analyze trend in a time series particularly for hydrological, climatological and meteorological data. The purpose of is to give the increasing or decreasing trend results of the Mann-Kendall test. MannKendall test results for precipitation are shown in Table 5; here results
are given for two-tailed confidence levels ( $\alpha=10 \%, \alpha=5 \%$ ). There is an increase trend in precipitation on annual basis. Similarly, increasing trends are seen in the winter season in seasonal sense. Only for January month for Samsun station there is an increasing trend at $\alpha=10 \%$, $\alpha=5 \%$ for monthly assessment. Mann-Kendall test results for average monthly temperatures are shown in Table 6; here results are given for two-tailed confidence levels $(\alpha=10 \%, \alpha=5 \%)$. There is an increase trend in temperatures on annual basis. Similarly, increasing trends are seen in spring and summer seasons in seasonal sense. Also, for May, June, July, August and November months for Samsun station there is an increasing trend at $\alpha=10 \%, \alpha=5 \%$ for monthly assessment.

Table 5. Mann-Kendall test results for precipitation

|  | Months | $\begin{gathered} \text { MKZ } \\ \text { Values } \end{gathered}$ | ```Z, Critical Probability Values (\alpha=10%)``` | MK test <br> Tendency $(\alpha=10 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \text { Hypothesis } \end{gathered}$ | ```Z, Critical Probability Values (\alpha=5%)``` | MK test Tendency $(\alpha=5 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \text { Hypothesis } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | 2.016 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Feb | 0.627 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Mar | 1.512 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Apr | -0.095 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | May | -0.136 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Jun | 0.232 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Jul | 1.158 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Aug | 0.272 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Sep | 0.885 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Oct | 0.014 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Nov | -1.376 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Dec | 1.485 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Annual | 2.220 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Winter | 2.356 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Spring | 0.613 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Summer | 1.607 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Autumn | -0.477 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |

Table 6. Mann-Kendall test results for average monthly temperatures

| ¢ <br>  <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | Months | $\begin{gathered} \text { MKZ } \\ \text { Values } \end{gathered}$ | Z, Critical Probability Values ( $\alpha=10 \%$ ) | MK test Tendency ( $\alpha=10 \%$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \text { Hypothesis } \end{gathered}$ | Z, Critical Probability Values ( $\alpha=5$ \%) | MK test Tendency ( $\alpha=5 \%$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \text { Hypothesis } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | 1.098 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Feb | 1.541 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Mar | 1.378 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Apr | 1.378 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | May | 3.340 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Jun | 3.200 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Jul | 3.597 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Aug | 3.457 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Sep | 1.705 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Oct | 1.495 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Nov | 2.079 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Dec | 0.561 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Annual | 3.060 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Winter | 1.752 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Spring | 2.989 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Summer | 3.854 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (+) | Refuse |
|  | Autumn | 1.892 | $\pm 1.645$ | (+) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |

Results of Mann-Kendall test for average monthly winds are shown in Table 7. There is a decrease trend in winds on annual basis. Similarly, there is a decreasing trend at $\alpha=5 \%$ in seasonal sense. For winter, spring and, autumn seasons at $\alpha=10 \%$ there is a decreasing trend too. Besides for January, February, Mach, April, July, November and December months there is a decreasing at $\alpha=10 \%$, in addition to that months there is a decreasing trend for June at $\alpha=5 \%$ too.
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Table 7. Mann-Kendall test results for average monthly winds

|  | Months | $\begin{gathered} \text { MK } \\ \text { Z } \\ \text { Values } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Z, Critical } \\ \text { Probability } \\ \text { Values } \\ (\alpha=10 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MK test Tendency $(\alpha=10 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \text { Hypothesis } \end{gathered}$ | Z, Critical Probability Values ( $\alpha=5$ \%) | MK test Tendency $(\alpha=5 \%)$ | Hypothesis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | -4.554 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Feb | -3.223 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Mar | -2.989 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Apr | -1.798 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | May | -1.611 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Jun | -1.658 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Jul | -2.546 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Aug | -1.238 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Sep | -1.541 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Oct | -0.794 | $\pm 1.645$ | No | Accept | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Nov | -2.709 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Dec | -4.064 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Annual | -3.270 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Winter | -4.741 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Spring | -2.032 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |
|  | Summer | -1.822 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | No | Accept |
|  | Autumn | -2.125 | $\pm 1.645$ | (-) | Refuse | $\pm 1.96$ | (-) | Refuse |

### 5.2. Linear Trend Analysis Test Results

The linear trend analysis is one of the parametric tests to analyze trend in a time series particularly for a lot of study. Linear trend results for Samsun Station are shown in Table 8.

Table 8. Linear trend results for Samsun station

|  | Months | Linear Trend |  |  | Months | Linear Trend |  |  | Months | Linear Trend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount of change | Tendency |  |  | Amount of change | Tendency |  |  | Amount of change | Tendency |
|  | Jan | 0.917 | (+) |  | Jan | 0.059 | (+) |  | Jan | -0.044 | (-) |
|  | Feb | 0.474 | (+) |  | Feb | 0.102 | (+) |  | Feb | -0.031 | (-) |
|  | Mar | 0.777 | (+) |  | Mar | 0.061 | (+) |  | Mar | -0.024 | (-) |
|  | Apr | -0.159 | (-) |  | Apr | 0.079 | (+) |  | Apr | -0.012 | (-) |
|  | May | -0.356 | (-) |  | May | 0.134 | (+) |  | May | -0.009 | (-) |
|  | Jun | 0.225 | (+) |  | Jun | 0.126 | (+) |  | Jun | -0.009 | (-) |
|  | Jul | 0.749 | (+) |  | Jul | 0118 | (+) |  | Jul | -0.018 | (-) |
|  | Aug | 1.370 | (+) |  | Aug | 0.139 | (+) |  | Aug | -0.011 | (-) |
|  | Sep | 0.471 | (+) |  | Sep | 0.098 | (+) |  | Sep | -0.012 | (-) |
|  | Oct | -0,308 | (-) |  | Oct | 0.076 | (+) |  | Oct | -0.015 | (-) |
|  | Nov | -1.117 | (-) |  | Nov | 0.119 | (+) |  | Nov | -0.030 | (-) |
|  | Dec | 0.672 | (+) |  | Dec | 0.041 | (+) |  | Dec | -0.040 | (-) |
|  | Annual | 3.714 | (+) |  | Annual | 0.096 | (+) |  | Annual | -0.021 | (-) |
|  | Winter | 2.063 | (+) |  | Winter | 0.202 | (+) |  | Winter | -0.116 | (-) |
|  | Spring | 0.261 | (+) |  | Spring | 0.274 | (+) |  | Spring | -0.046 | (-) |
|  | Summer | 2.344 | (+) |  | Summer | 0.383 | (+) |  | Summer | -0.038 | (-) |
|  | Autumn | -0.954 | (-) |  | Autumn | 0.293 | (+) |  | Autumn | -0.057 | (-) |

The average annual change in values and trend direction are included in this table. Table 8 shows that there is an increasing trend in total precipitation on annual basis. For winter, spring and summer seasons there is also an increasing trend too. In addition, monthly results of Samsun gauge station for precipitation shows that increasing trends for January, February, March, June, July, August, September and December. Annual, seasonal and the monthly results are shown in Figure 3, 4 and 5 more extensively. While temperatures tend to increase in all periods, there is a trend to decrease in the winds. The results of the linear trend analysis subjected to the annual, seasonal and monthly average temperatures for the Samsun station are shown in Figures 6, 7 and 8, respectively. The linear trend results for wind data are given for annual, seasonal and monthly basis in the following Figures 9, 10 and 11 respectively.


Figure 3. Annual total precipitation graph for linear trend analysis


Figure 4. Seasonal total precipitation graphs for linear trend analysis


Figure 5. Monthly total precipitation graphs for linear trend analysis


Figure 6. Annual average temperature graph for linear trend analysis


Figure 7. Seasonal average temperature graphs for linear trend analysis


Figure 8. Monthly average temperature graphs for linear trend analysis


Figure 9. Annual average wind graph for linear trend analysis


Figure 10. Seasonal average wind graphs for linear trend analysis
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Figure 11. Monthly average wind ${ }_{65}$ graphs for linear trend analysis
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### 4.3. Sen's Trend Method Results

The results obtained using Sen trend analysis for annual, seasonal and monthly precipitation series are given in Table 9, for 5\% confidence interval and in Table 10, for 10\% confidence interval. There are similar results for both Table 9 and Table 10. Tables show trendless times for May and June months. Besides except April, October, November and Autumn periods, there are increasing trends in total precipitation.

Table 9. Sen's trend method results for total precipitation (5\%)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EI } \\ & . \\ & .+ \\ & \pi \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & .-H \\ & H \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & -H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u } \\ & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & -7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & -H \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | 0.981 | 45.617 | 29.972 | 0.919 | 0.111 | 0.05 | -0.218 | 0.218 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Feb | 0.766 | 43.293 | 21.421 | 0.931 | 0.073 | 0.05 | -0.143 | 0.143 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Mar | 0.916 | 47.94 | 27.754 | 0.972 | 0.060 | 0.05 | -0.119 | 0.119 | на | YES | Increase |
|  | Apr | -0.480 | 58.231 | 30.972 | 0.973 | 0.065 | 0.05 | -0.128 | 0.128 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | May | -0.053 | 56.791 | 30.574 | 0.960 | 0.079 | 0.05 | -0.156 | 0.156 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jun | 0.150 | 45.983 | 30.938 | 0.899 | 0.128 | 0.05 | -0.251 | 0.251 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jul | 0.413 | 21.447 | 34.205 | 0.981 | 0.061 | 0.05 | -0.120 | 0.120 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Aug | 1.277 | 20.353 | 55.832 | 0.942 | 0.175 | 0.05 | -0.343 | 0.343 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Sep | 0.174 | 38.354 | 31.081 | 0.967 | 0.073 | 0.05 | -0.143 | 0.143 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Oct | -0.978 | 91.702 | 56.015 | 0.981 | 0.099 | 0.05 | -0.194 | 0.194 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Nov | -1.198 | 107.601 | 47.500 | 0.967 | 0.112 | 0.05 | -0.220 | 0.220 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Dec | 0.368 | 62.033 | 29.569 | 0.955 | 0.081 | 0.05 | -0.159 | 0.159 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Annual | 2.339 | 638.504 | 94.539 | 0.902 | 0.387 | 0.05 | -0.758 | 0.758 | на | YES | Increase |
|  | Winter | 2.116 | 150.943 | 53.613 | 0.955 | 0.148 | 0.05 | -0.290 | 0.290 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Spring | 0.383 | 162.117 | 47.726 | 0.975 | 0.097 | 0.05 | -0.191 | 0.191 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Summer | 1.841 | 87.784 | 72.144 | 0.921 | 0.265 | 0.05 | -0.520 | 0.520 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Autumn | -2.002 | 237.659 | 74.132 | 0.968 | 0.173 | 0.05 | -0.340 | 0.340 | Ha | YES | Decrease |

Table 10. Sen's trend method results for total precipitation (10\%)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & . \\ & -1 \\ & H \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ \text { o } \\ \omega_{2} \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ \omega \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ci } \\ & .-1 \\ & 0 \\ & .-1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | 0.981 | 45.617 | 29.972 | 0.919 | 0.111 | 0.05 | -0.184 | 0.184 | Ha | YES | Increa |
|  | Feb | 0.766 | 43.293 | 21.421 | 0.931 | 0.073 | 0.05 | -0.120 | 0.120 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Mar | 0.916 | 47.094 | 27.754 | 0.972 | 0.060 | 0.05 | -0.100 | 0.100 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Apr | -0.480 | 58.231 | 30.972 | 0.973 | 0.065 | 0.05 | -0.108 | 0.108 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | May | -0.053 | 56.791 | 30.574 | 0.960 | 0.079 | 0.05 | -0.131 | 0.131 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jun | 0.150 | 45.983 | 30.938 | 0.899 | 0.128 | 0.05 | -0.211 | 0.211 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jul | 0.413 | 21.447 | 34.205 | 0.981 | 0.061 | 0.05 | -0.101 | 0.101 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Aug | 1.277 | 20.359 | 55.832 | 0.942 | 0.175 | 0.05 | -0.288 | 0.288 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Sep | 0.174 | 38.354 | 31.081 | 0.967 | 0.073 | 0.05 | -0.121 | 0.121 | Ha | YES | Increase |
| $0$ | Oct | -0.970 | 91.702 | 56.015 | 0.981 | 0.099 | 0.05 | -0.163 | 0.163 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Nov | -1.198 | 107.601 | 47.500 | 0.967 | 0.112 | 0.05 | -0.185 | 0.185 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Dec | 0.368 | 62.033 | 29.569 | 0.955 | 0.081 | 0.05 | -0.134 | 0.134 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Annual | 2.339 | 638.504 | 94.539 | 0.902 | 0.387 | 0.05 | -0.638 | 0.638 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Winter | 2.116 | 150.943 | 53.613 | 0.955 | 0.148 | 0.05 | -0.244 | 0.244 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Spring | 0.383 | 162.117 | 47.726 | 0,975 | 0.097 | 0.05 | -0.161 | 0.161 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Summer | 1.841 | 87.784 | 72.144 | 0.921 | 0.265 | 0.05 | -0.438 | 0.438 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Autumn | -2.002 | 237.659 | 74.132 | 0.968 | 0.173 | 0.05 | -0.286 | 0.286 | Ha | YES | Decrease |

Sen Trend analysis for annual, seasonal and monthly temperature series are given in Table 11 for $5 \%$ and in Table 12 for the 10\% confidence interval. They both show similar results. Both for $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ confidence interval and for all periods there is increasing trends in average temperatures.

Table 11. Sen's trend method results for average monthly temperatures
(5\%)

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ \dot{\omega} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ 0 \\ \dot{\omega} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & -7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | 0.21389 | 7.514 | 4.453 | 0.628 | 0.065 | 0.05 | -0.127 | 0.127 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Feb | 0.21319 | 6.435 | 4.637 | 0.543 | 0.075 | 0.05 | -0.147 | 0.147 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Mar | 0.20903 | 7.831 | 4.397 | 0.620 | 0.065 | 0.05 | -0.127 | 0.127 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Apr | 0.19167 | 10.917 | 3.808 | 0.887 | 0.030 | 0.05 | -0.060 | 0.060 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | May | 0.23542 | 14.284 | 3.025 | 0.730 | 0.037 | 0.05 | -0.074 | 0.074 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Jun | 0.19375 | 18.93 | 2.264 | 0.919 | 0.015 | 0.05 | -0.030 | 0.030 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Jul | 0.15208 | 21.921 | 1.830 | 0.831 | 0.018 | 0.05 | -0.035 | 0.035 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Aug | 0.17014 | 21.948 | 1.921 | 0.827 | 0.019 | 0.05 | -0.037 | 0.037 | на | YES | Increase |
|  | Sep | 0.15208 | 19.376 | 2.294 | 0.892 | 0.018 | 0.05 | -0.035 | 0.035 | на | YES | Increase |
|  | Oct | 0.16736 | 15.803 | 3.090 | 0.933 | 0.019 | 0.05 | -0.037 | 0.037 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Nov | 0.17778 | 11.478 | 3.925 | 0.767 | 0.045 | 0.05 | -0.089 | 0.089 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Dec | 0.22292 | 9.621 | 4.272 | 0.911 | 0.030 | 0.05 | -0.059 | 0.059 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Annual | 0.19161 | 13.838 | 3.063 | 0.858 | 0.027 | 0.05 | -0.054 | 0.054 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Winter | 0.65000 | 23.570 | 12.769 | 0.895 | 0.099 | 0.05 | -0.194 | 0.194 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Spring | 0.63611 | 33.032 | 10.847 | 0.766 | 0.126 | 0.05 | -0.247 | 0.247 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Summer | 0.51597 | 62.799 | 5.739 | 0.826 | 0.057 | 0.05 | -0.112 | 0.112 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Autumn | 0.49722 | 46.657 | 8.742 | 0.789 | 0.096 | 0.05 | -0.189 | 0.189 | На | YES | Increas |

Table 12. Sen's trend method results for average monthly temperatures (10\%)

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 0  <br> 0 0 <br> 0 0 <br> 0 0 <br>  $\vdots$ <br> $\pi$ 0 <br> 0 0 <br> 0 -1 <br> 0 0 <br> 0 -1 <br> 0 - <br> -1 0 <br> 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & C_{0} \\ & 0 \\ & . \\ & -1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned} 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ -H \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{C} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{H} \\ & H \\ & H \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | 0.213 | 7.514 | 4.453 | 0.628 | 0.065 | 0.05 | -0.107 | 0.107 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Feb | 0.213 | 6.435 | 4.637 | 0.543 | 0.075 | 0.05 | -0.124 | 0.124 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Mar | 0.209 | 7.831 | 4.397 | 0.620 | 0.065 | 0.05 | -0.107 | 0.107 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Apr | 0.191 | 10.917 | 3.808 | 0.887 | 0.030 | 0.05 | -0.050 | 0.050 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | May | 0.235 | 14.284 | 3.025 | 0.730 | 0.037 | 0.05 | -0.062 | 0.062 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Jun | 0.193 | 18.93 | 2.264 | 0.919 | 0.015 | 0.05 | -0.025 | 0.025 | На | YES | Increase |
|  | Jul | 0.152 | 21.921 | 1.830 | 0.831 | 0.018 | 0.05 | -0.029 | 0.029 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Aug | 0.170 | 21.948 | 1.921 | 0.827 | 0.019 | 0.05 | -0.031 | 0.031 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Sep | 0.152 | 19.376 | 2.294 | 0.892 | 0.018 | 0.05 | -0.029 | 0.029 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Oct | 0.167 | 15.803 | 3.090 | 0.933 | 0.019 | 0.05 | -0.031 | 0.031 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Nov | 0.177 | 11.478 | 3.925 | 0.767 | 0.045 | 0.05 | -0.075 | 0.075 | На | YES | Increase |
|  | Dec | 0.222 | 9.621 | 4.272 | 0.911 | 0.030 | 0.05 | -0.050 | 0.050 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Annual | 0.191 | 13.838 | 3.063 | 0.858 | 0.027 | 0.05 | -0.045 | 0.045 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Winter | 0.650 | 23.570 | 12.769 | 0.895 | 0.099 | 0.05 | -0.163 | 0.163 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Spring | 0.636 | 33.032 | 10.847 | 0.766 | 0.126 | 0.05 | -0.208 | 0.208 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Summer | 0.515 | 62.799 | 5.739 | 0.826 | 0.057 | 0.05 | -0.094 | 0.094 | Ha | YES | Increase |
|  | Autumn | 0.497 | 46.657 | 8.742 | 0.789 | 0.096 | 0.05 | -0.159 | 0.159 | Ha | YES | Increase |

The wind results are given in Table 13 for 5\% confidence interval and in Table 14 for $10 \%$ confidence interval. Similar results were obtained in Table 13 and Table 14. The tables showed that no trend in May, June, August and September, and decreasing trend all the other months.

Table 13. Sen's trend method results for average monthly winds (5\%)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{U}{u} \\ & -H \\ & H \\ & \sim \\ & 0 \\ & -H \\ & -H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & \text { - } \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & .-1 \\ & 0 \\ & .-1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | -0.037 | 2.165 | 0.393 | 0.958 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Feb | -0.023 | 1.824 | 0.354 | 0.943 | 0.002 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Mar | -0.014 | 1.542 | 0.260 | 0.935 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Apr | -0.004 | 1.202 | 0.181 | 0.904 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | May | -0.001 | 1.037 | 0.183 | 0.958 | 0.000 | 0.05 | -0.001 | 0.001 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jun | 0.001 | 1.185 | 0.185 | 0.802 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jul | -0.009 | 1.49 | 0.212 | 0.971 | 0.000 | 0.05 | -0.001 | 0.001 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Aug | -0.001 | 1.356 | 0.190 | 0.916 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Sep | 0.000 | 1.264 | 0.182 | 0.900 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Oct | -0.009 | 1.23 | 0.197 | 0.766 | 0.002 | 0.05 | -0.004 | 0.004 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Nov | -0.015 | 1.609 | 0.356 | 0.948 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | На | YES | Decrease |
|  | Dec | -0.031 | 2.065 | 0.363 | 0.929 | 0.002 | 0.05 | -0.004 | 0.004 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Annual | -0.012 | 1.497 | 0.196 | 0.954 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.001 | 0.001 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Winter | -0.093 | 6.054 | 0.941 | 0.911 | 0.006 | 0.05 | -0.013 | 0.013 | на | YES | Decrease |
|  | Spring | -0.020 | 3.781 | 0.529 | 0.909 | 0.003 | 0.05 | -0.007 | 0.007 | на | YES | Decrease |
|  | Summer | -0.009 | 4.031 | 0.540 | 0.917 | 0.003 | 0.05 | -0.007 | 0.007 | На | YES | Decrease |
|  | Autumn | -0.025 | 4.103 | 0.650 | 0.914 | 0.004 | 0.05 | -0.008 | 0.008 | Ha | YES | Decrease |

Table 14. Sen's trend method results for average monthly winds (10\%)

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { u } \\ \dot{0} \\ 0_{1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \text { on } \end{gathered}$ | 0 $\vdots$ 0 0 0 $u$ $u$ 0 $\vdots$ $H$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{u} \\ & \text { H } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 0_{1} \\ & م_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | -0.037 | 2.165 | 0.393 | 0.958 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Feb | -0.023 | 1.824 | 0.354 | 0.943 | 0.002 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Mar | -0.014 | 1.542 | 0.260 | 0.935 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Apr | -0.004 | 1.202 | 0.181 | 0.904 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | May | -0.001 | 1.037 | 0.183 | 0.958 | 0.000 | 0.05 | -0.001 | 0.001 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jun | 0.0013 | 1.185 | 0.185 | 0.802 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Jul | -0.009 | 1.490 | 0.212 | 0.971 | 0.000 | 0.05 | -0.001 | 0.001 | На | YES | Decrease |
|  | Aug | -0.001 | 1.356 | 0.190 | 0.916 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Sep | 0.000 | 1.264 | 0.182 | 0.900 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.002 | 0.002 | Ho | No | No trend |
|  | Oct | -0.009 | 1.230 | 0.197 | 0.766 | 0.002 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Nov | -0.015 | 1.609 | 0.356 | 0.948 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Dec | -0.031 | 2.065 | 0.363 | 0.929 | 0.002 | 0.05 | -0.003 | 0.003 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Annual | -0.012 | 1.497 | 0.196 | 0.954 | 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.001 | 0.001 | На | YES | Decrease |
|  | Winter | -0.093 | 6.054 | 0.941 | 0.911 | 0.006 | 0.05 | -0.011 | 0.011 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Spring | -0.020 | 3.781 | 0.529 | 0.909 | 0.003 | 0.05 | -0.006 | 0.006 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Summer | -0.0093 | 4.031 | 0.540 | 0.917 | 0.003 | 0.05 | -0.006 | 0.006 | Ha | YES | Decrease |
|  | Autumn | -0.0250 | 4.103 | 0.650 | 0.914 | 0.004 | 0.05 | -0.007 | 0.007 | Ha | YES | Decrease |

The results obtained using Sen Trend analysis for monthly, seasonal and annual precipitation series are given in Table 9, for $5 \%$ confidence interval and in Table 10, for $10 \%$ confidence interval. There are similar results for both Table 9 and Table 10. Tables show trendless times for May and June months. Besides except April, October, November and Autumn periods, there is increasing trends in total precipitation. Sen Trend analysis for annual, seasonal and monthly temperature series are shown in Table 11 for 5\% confidence interval and in Table 12 for the 10\% confidence interval. Similar results were obtained in Table 11 and Table 12. The tables showed that increasing trends in average temperatures for all periods. The wind results are given in Table 13 for $5 \%$ confidence interval and in Table 14 for $10 \%$ confidence interval. There are similar results for both Table 9 and Table 10 . Both for $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ confidence interval and for all periods there is decreasing trends for wind data.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Temperature, precipitation, and wind data of Samsun station was Temperature, precipitation, and wind data of Samsun station was analyzed
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…
with the Mann-Kendall, linear trend and innovative Sen Trend analysis in this study. According to the results, at the levels of significance of $5 \%$ and $10 \%$, Mann-Kendall's statistical results were found to be generally similar to the Sen's trend method. Except January period for Samsun station there is an increasing and statistically significant trend seen at $\alpha=10 \%, \alpha=5 \%$ two tailed confident levels for total precipitation. There is an increase trend in precipitation on an annual basis. Similarly, there is an increasing trend in the winter season in seasonal sense. For temperature data results there is an increase trend on a yearly basis. Similarly, there is an increasing trend in spring and summer seasons in seasonal sense. Also for June, July, August and November months for Samsun station there is an increasing, and statistically significant trend at $\alpha=10 \%, \alpha=5 \%$ for monthly assessment for temperatures.

Mann-Kendall test results for average monthly winds; there is a decrease trend in winds on a yearly basis. Similarly, there is a decreasing trend in Winter, Spring and, Autumn seasons in seasonal sense. Besides for January, February, April, June, July, November and December months for Samsun station there is a decreasing, and statistically significant trend at $\alpha=10 \%, \alpha=5 \%$ for monthly assessment.

The Samsun station show trendless time series (no trend) about precipitation in monthly, seasonal and annual periods according to the Mann-Kendall analysis. Also, the temperatures have significantly increasing trend in while winds have reduced in inspected periods. Generally, similar results are seen in the Sen's method for $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ confidence interval. The total precipitation results show trendless times for May and June months. Besides; except April, October, November and autumn periods, there are increasing trends in total precipitation. Sen Trend analysis for average temperature series there is increasing trends for $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ confidence interval. Also, the wind result shows there is a decreasing trend for confidence interval $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ and for all periods. According to the Linear trend analysis in April, May, October, and autumn periods there have seen decreasing trends while in other periods have seen increasing trends. Also, the wind result shows there is a decreasing while the temperature result shows increasing trend for all periods. The reason is that the least-square technique used in the Linear trend method that is affected by the global ratio from high (peak) value data. The positive trends in the wind data have affect erosion and drought. Peak values can lead to differences in trends in linear trend analysis. The wind trend has been included in the study to examine the relationship of coastal floods and coastal winds.

Precipitation is very determinative in climate change studies, but temperature and wind are also very important in terms of influencing evaporation and transpiration which are the parameters of climate change. From this point the study will provide the necessary services for the decision makers in terms of forecasting, projecting and execution processes.

## NOTE

A basic version of this study presented as an oral presentation at 22-24 March 2017, IWA-PPFW 2017, 2nd IWA Regional Symposium on Water, Wastewater and Environment, İzmir (Turkey).
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