

NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES FISHERIES SCIENCES Received: July 2007 Accepted: December 2007 © 2008 www.newwsa.com ISSN:1306-3111 e-Journal of New World Sciences Academy 2008, Volume: 3, Number: 1 Article Number: A0048

> Dilek Türker Çakır Hatice Torcu Koç Asiye Başusta Nuri Başusta University of Balikesir dturker@balikesir.edu.tr Balikesir-Turkiye

LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS OF 24 FISH SPECIES FROM EDREMIT BAY AEGEAN SEA

ABSTRACT

In this study Length-weight relationships were estimated for 24 fish species from Edremit Bay (The North Aegean Sea). Samples were collected using bottom trawl with 44 mm cod end. The values of the exponent b ranged from 1.4421 (for Cepola rubescens) to 3.8111 (for Scomber scombrus) and the median value was 2.946, whereas 25-75% of the values ranged between 2.774 and 3.124.

Keywords: Length-Weight Relationships, Aegean Sea, Marine Fishes

EGE DENİZİ EDREMİT KÖRFEZİNDE 24 BALIK TÜRÜNÜN BOY-AĞIRLIK İLİŞKİSİ

ÖZET

Bu çalışmada Edremit Körfezinde (Kuzey Ege Denizi) 24 balık türüne ait boy-ağırlık ilişkileri hesaplandı. Örnekler 44mm lik göz açıklığına sahip dip trolü kullanılarak elde edildi. b değeri en düşük 1.4421 (Cepola rubescens türü için), en yüksek 3.8111 (Scomber scombrus türü için), medyan değeri 2.946 bunun %25-75'i 2.774 ve 3.124 arasında bulundu.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Boy-Ağırlık İlişkisi, Ege Denizi, Deniz Balıkları



1. INTRODUCTION (GİRİŞ)

There are some study were published related about the lengthweight relationships in Turkish waters (Bilecenoğlu and Taşkavak, 2002; Can et all., 2002, Filiz et all., Çiçek et all., 2006; Karakulak et all., 2006). This study is a first study to estimate length-weight relationship for fish in the Edremit Bay (North Aegean Sea).

In this study, the parameters of length-weight relationships were estimated for 24 fish species caught in Edremit Bay (North Aegean Sea). The relationship for *Arnoglossus kessleri* were estimated first time in the World up to now.

2. RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE (ÇALIŞMANIN ÖNEMİ)

In this study Length-weight relationships were estimated for 24 fish species from Edremit Bay (the North Aegean Sea). The lengthweight relationship was estimated first time for *Arnoglossus kessleri*. This research results are important for fish and fisheries biology subjects and fisheries management policies.

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS (MALZEME VE YÖNTEM)

Samplings were conducted on the northern coast of Edremit Bay (Figure 1) from September 1997 to September 2000. This bay occupies an area of 34.5 km from east to west, 25.5 km from north to South (Northern Aegean Sea) between 39°17' and 39°34'N, 26°57' and 26°34'E. Trawling was done only during daytime at depths ranging from 45 to 60 m. Duration of hauls was about 2 hours and the speed was 2 miles per hour. The trawl was equipped with a 44 mm stretched mesh size at the cod-end. Catches were immediately transported to the laboratory in a plastic box with ice. In the laboratory, firstly species identification was done according to Whitehead et all. (1984-1986a, 1986b; Froese and Pauly, 2006; Golani et al.2006). The total lengths and weights were measured to the nearest 0.5cm and 1gram recpectively for each specimen. The total lengths and weights were fitted to length-weight equations, $W = aL^b$, by using least square methods with Statistica software. In the length-weight equation a is the intercept and b is slope (=exponent) of the length-weight curve (King, 1996).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (SONUÇLAR VE TARTIŞMA)

The estimated parameters of length-weight relationship are given in Table 1. It can be seen the table, the sample size ranged from 14 for *Trisopterus luscus capelanus* to 1236 for *Citharus linguatula*. The R^2 values ranged from 0.864 for *Scyliorhinus canicula* to 0.986 for *Scomber scombrus* and all regressions were highly significant (P<0.001). Box-whiskers plots of the exponent b were shown in Figure 2. The values of b ranged from 2.6265 for *Serranus cabrilla* 3.3486 for *Mullus barbatus* and the median value of b was 2.9456.

For all the species, the data were not representative for all months. Thus, these estimated parameters should be considered to represent only a particular season or time of year. In addition various factors may be responsible for the differences in parameters of the length-weight relationships among seasons and years, such as temperature, salinity, food (quantity, quality and size) sex, time of year and stage of maturity (Bagenal and Tesch, 1978, Shepherd and Grimes, 1983, Pauly, 1984, Weatherley and Gill, 1987, Dulcic and Kraljevic, 1996). Due to the selective properties of the fishing gear, used in this study, the sample does not include juveniles or small individuals for any of the species. As pointed out by Petrakis and Stergiou (1995), the use of these relationships should be limited to the sizes used to estimate the parameters. e-Journal of New World Sciences Academy Natural and Applied Sciences, 3, (1), A0048, 47-51. Turker-Çakır, D., Torcu-Koç, H., Başusta, A., and Başusta, N.





Figure 1. Box-whiskers plots of the exponent b of the weightlength relationships for 13 species (the box covers 75% of data values. The central box shows the median, and the vertical line represents the range of values). (Şekil 1. Onüç tür için boy-ağırlık ilişkisinin "b" değerinin

box-whiskers planı

In this study, the length-weight relationship parameters were estimated first time for *Arnoglossus kessleri*, which have not include any information even in online version of Fishbase (Froese and Pauly, 2006).



Table 1. Length-weight relationships for 24 fishes from the Edremit Bay 1. Edremit Körfezindeki 24 balık türü içi 1.....

			±±0111	00	_ 0.1 0		2		
(Tablo	> 1.	Edremit	Körfezindeki	24	balık	türü	için	boy-ağırlık	ilişkisi
		· · · ·							

Species	Weigth characteristics					Length characteristics				Parameters of the relationship				
	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	Mean	Min	Max	SD	a	b	SE of b	CI(95%)	R ²
Scyliorhinus	112													
seri sul s	112													
Canicula		556 45	60 6 0		100 10		0.4.6			0 1 0 - 6			4.5. 0.604	0.054
(Linnaeus, 1/58)		5/0.1/	63.6/	2424	488.43	496.14	246	/86	96.448	2.10	3.0999	187.348	17.8621	0.864
Sardina	87													
pilchardus														
(Malbaum 1792)		15 966	6 1 9	34 22	5 8389	115 36	80	142	11 042	3 10-5	2 7664	3 34525	2 31088	0 6768
(waibaum, 1752)		13.900	0.45	J7.22	5.0505	113.30	00	142	11.042	5.10	2.7004	3.34323	2.31900	0.0700
Engraulis	28													
encrasicolus														
(Linnaeus, 1758)		7 0546	3 33	15 95	3 2831	98 678	85	134	11 779	2 10 ⁻⁵	2 7742	2 15172	4 36292	0 6611
(11111111111111111111111111111111111111	1.4	,	0.00	10.00	0.2001	50.070	00	101	11	2.10	2.7712	2.101/2	1.00292	0.0011
Trisopterus	14													
luscus														
capelanus,														
(Linnaeus 1758)		41 706	23 42	105 29	23 340	149 71	131	200	21 691	4 10-6	3 1 9 4 8	8 98306	11 3621	0 8687
(Effinacus, 1750)		41.700	23.42	100.20	23.340	142.71	101	200	21.001	4. 10	5.1540	0.90300	11.0021	0.0007
Merluccius	166													
merluccius														
(Linnaeus, 1758)		119.41	27.77	350.95	69.113	240.50	158	372	46.926	7. 10 ⁻⁶	3.0081	16.5434	7.13856	0.9662
Lophius	23													
LOphitus	2.5													
piscatorius										<i>c</i>				
Linnaeus, 1758		201.23	11.73	912.26	186.96	230.73	101	440	66.933	2. 10-6	2.9379	58.8760	27.3544	0.9697
Chelidonichthys	304													
gurnardus	~ ~ ~ ~		I		1		1		1	1		1		1
guinaidus,										6				
Linnaeus, 1758		26.419	7.5	78	11.428	139.03	94	224	19.189	6. 10-0	3.0824	2.99320	2.15706	0.9511
Chelidonichthys	128											1		1
lastoviza														
(Drippich 17(0)	1	41 110	11 20	116 14	17 000	267 54	7 F	700	124 44	1 10-5	2 0410	17 0550	22 2041	0 0500
(Brunnich, 1768)		41.110	11.39	110.14	17.033	207.34	/5	/80	134.40	1.10	3.0419	17.0550	23.2941	0.9596
Lepidotrigla	377													
cavillone.														
(Lacopodo 1801)		15 168	1 9	10 09	5 3683	104 43	75	1.4.1	11 976	1 10-5	2 9828	1 86271	1 20895	0 8927
(Lacepede, 1001)		13.100	4.9	40.05	5.5005	104.45	75	141	11.570	1. 10	2.9020	1.002/1	1.20095	0.0527
Serranus	602													
cabrilla,														
(Linnaeus, 1758)		46.329	10.54	163.66	20.519	158.41	87	2.34	23.455	7. 10 ⁻⁵	2.6265	9.15637	1.87366	0.8738
(,,,,,,, _	7.0													
Serranus	/8													
hepatus,														
(Linnaeus, 1758)		139.15	71	215	33.531	95.641	78	114	7.4659	4.10-3	2.8015	15.4590	1.65685	0.7917
Trachurus	76													
114CH4145	70													
medicerraneus														
(Steindachner,														
1868)		27.338	4.21	109.5	21.203	129.56	73	225	31.279	7. 10-6	3.0992	5.53036	7.03238	0.9717
Trachurus	174													-
ilucitulus	114													
tracnurus,										-				
(Linnaeus, 1758)		47.143	6.1	181.6	38.462	149.16	78	243	39.189	2. 10-5	2.8767	13.0046	5.82296	0.9379
Boops boops.	1231													
(Tipppoug 1750)		10 002	0.20	111 6	10 026	150 02	0.4	2.21	12 2/2	1 10-5	2 02/0	1 02011	0 72072	0 0702
(IIIIIIaeus, 1750)		40.905	5.20	111.0	10.920	100.00	24	221	13.242	1. 10	2.9240	4.03041	0.13513	0.0705
Diplodus	887													
annuris,														
(Linnaeus, 1758)		25 284	8 66	72 13	7 4785	103 11	73	138	9 5335	5 10 ⁻⁵	2 82	2 95376	0 62739	0 8724
Descal luce	1.0.1	20.201	0.00	72.10	/.1/00	100.11		100	5.0000	0. 10	2.02	2.00070	0.02700	0.0721
rayellus	TOT		I		1		1		1	1		1		1
erytrinus,														
(Linnaeus, 1758)		56.148	18.11	159.1	22.394	142.77	78	228	18.778	1. 10 ⁻⁴	2.6595	7.05171	2.73564	0.9203
Spicara smaris	139	1	1	1	1	İ	1		1	1	İ	1		1
(Timesous 1750)	100	20 052	15	44.0	7 0260	122 40	105	1 5 7	11 500	2 10-5	0.0551	2 26116	1 01 6 2 0	0 0040
(Linnaeus, 1/38)		28.055	15	44.2	1.0308	133.49	105	157	11.528	3.10	2.8001	3.30110	1.91039	0.9243
Mullus barbatus	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
Linnaeus, 1758	45	34.905	13.62	91.34	19.424	12,909	10	18,5	1.8818	6. 10 ⁻³	3.3486	4.43903	0.54982	0.9795
Copola ruboscons							12							
cepora rubescens	05.5	4.5.5.65					12.	40.5	c	0 1070		0.05600	0 6454.0	0.0004
Linnaeus, 1/58	356	17.567	4.55	34.93	5.6564	28.429	3	43.7	6.2104	0.13/9	1.4421	2.05688	0.64512	0.8801
Scomber														
scombrus.														
Linnaeus 1759	52	56 462	33	101 72	22 1/3	183 67	159	217	17 021	1 10-7	3 8111	4 3055E	4 87001	0 996
Lilliaeus, 1756	JZ	30.402	33	101.72	22.443	103.07	100	217	11.921	1. 10	3.0111	4.39330	4.0/091	0.900
Citharus	1236													
linguatula,			I		1		1		1	1		1		1
(Linnaeus 1758)		27 646	2 1 2	90 18	19 522	150 96	69	237	36 532	2 10-6	3 2574	5 57422	2 03666	0 9825
(Limitada), 1,00)	1	27.010		20.10	17.922	100.70		201	00.002	2. 10	0.20/1	0.0/122	2.00000	0.0020
Arnogiossus			I		1		1		1	1		1		1
kessleri,			I		1		1		I	1		1		1
Schmidt, 1915	32	3.4493	0.8	5.85	1.1400	81.75	52	95	9.0554	4. 10 ⁻⁶	3.1243	0.41992	3.13747	0.9448
Arnoglossus	1						1							+
111109103505	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
iaterna,	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1		1
(Walbaum, 1792)	328	43.317	8.4	392.41	59.276	82.408	55	205	22.530	2. 10->	3.242	18.5264	2.43823	0.9677
Arnoglossus							T .	Γ						Г
thori Kulo 1012	170	25 227	1 50	93 07	13 / 20	1/5 00	65	225	26 554	1 10-5	2 9/66	5 24026	3 00170	0 022
CUNCTT UNTE' TATO	± / U	23.221	1.00	03.07	13.420	エセン・フラ	00	223	20.004	±. ±0	2.7400	J.24720	5.551/0	0.300

N: Sample size; Mean: Mean value of length (cm) or weight (g); Min: Minimum value of length or weight; Max: Maximum value of length or weight; SD: Standard deviation; SE: Standard error; a: Intercept of the relationship; b: the slope of the relationship; R²: Correlation coefficient; C.I.: Confidence intervals (95%); Species are listed in taxonomical order.



REFERENCES (KAYNAKLAR)

- Avşar, D., (1998). Balıkçılık Biyolojisi ve Populasyon Dinamiği. Baki Kitap Evi Yayınları, Adana.
- Bagenal, T.B. and Tesch, F.W., (1978). Age and Growth, In Methods for Assessment of Fish Production in Freshwaters. Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Can, M.F., Basusta, N. And Cekic, M., (2002). Weight-length relationships for selected fish species of the small-scale fisheries off the south coast of Iskenderun Bay. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 26, pp:1181-1183.
- Dulcic, J. and Kraljevic, M., (1996). Weight-length relationships for 40 fish species in the eastern Adriatic (Crotian waters), Fish. Res., 28:243-251.
- Erkoyuncu, Ü., (1995). Balıkçılık Biyolojisi ve Populasyon Dinamiği. Ondokuz Mayıs Univ. Yayınları, Sinop, 1995.
- Froese, R. and Pauly, D., (2006). Fishbase (www database). World Wide Web Electronic Publication.Available at URL: http://www.fishbase.org.
- Golani, D., Öztürk, B., and Başusta, N., (2006). Fishes of The Eastern Mediterranean. Turkish Marine Research Foundation, İstanbul, Turkey. Pub.Number:24, pp:259.
- Gonçalves, J.M.S., Bentes, L., Lino, P.G., Riberio J., Canario, A.V.M., and Erzini, K., (1997). Weight-length relationships for selected fish species of the small-scale demersal fisheries of the south and south-west coast of Portugal. Fish. Res., 30:253-256.
- King, M., (1996). Fisheries Biology Assessment and Management. Fishing News Books, USA.
- Pauly, D., (1984). Fish Population Dynamics in Tropical Waters: A Manual for Use with Programmable Calculators. ICLARM Studişes and Reviews 8. International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila, Philippines, pp:325.
- Petrakis, G. and Stergiou, K.I., (1995). Weight-length relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters. Fish. Res., 21:465-469.
- Shepherd, G. and Grimes, C.B., (1983). Geographic and historic variations in growth of weakfish, *Cynoscion regalis*, in the middle Atlantic Bight. Fish. Bull. U.S., 81:803-813.
- Weatherley, A.H. and Gill, H.S., (1987). The Biology of Fish Growth. Academic Pres, London.